## Project Status Form

RECIPIENT
Town of Jerusalem
CONTRACT \#
T1000225
PROJECT TITLE
Town of Jerusalem Waterfront Revitalization Strategy
Status Report Date:
2/14/14
** See guidelines on reverse side for completing this form **

| $\frac{\text { Task }}{\#}$ | Brief Task <br> Description | Percent of Completion | A/T | Date of Completion | Task Accomplishments | Product Submitted to DOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kick-Off Meeting | 100\% | A | 2/25/13 | Phone Conference outlining and agreeing to general contract terms | Quarterly Update Report $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr 2013 |
| 2 | Advisory Committee | 100\% | A | 4/13 | Formed Local Advisory Committee (11 persons) and held an initial meeting | Quarterly Update Report $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr 2013 |
| 3 | Consultant RFP | 100\% | A | 7/13 | Prepared Request for Proposals for planning consultant; reviewed, approved and advertised through direct mail and the NYS Contract Reporter | Quarterly Update Report 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Qtr 2013; RFP |
| 4 | Consultant Selection | 100\% | A | 8/13 | Interviewed and selected preferred planning consultant | Quarterly Update Report $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr 2013 |
| 5 | Consultant SubContract | 100\% | A | 9/13 | Contracted with Ingalls Planning \& Design as planning consultant | Quarterly Update Report 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Qtr 2013; |
| 6 | Second Project Meeting | 100\% | A | 12/13 | Met with Local Advisory Committee five additional times reviewing scope, boundary, community input and visioning | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quarterly Update Report } 4^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { Qtr } 2013 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Preliminary Boundary | 100\% | A | 12/13 | Discussed, mapped, and reviewed preliminary waterfront revitalization area | Quarterly Update Report $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr 2013; Preliminary Boundary Map |
| 8 | Draft Inventory \& Analysis | 85\% | T | 2/14 | Inventoried and mapped majority of existing conditions; reviewed at community workshop | Quarterly Update Report $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr 2013; Draft Inventory \& Analysis Report |
| 9 | Community <br> Visioning Workshop | 100\% | A | 11/19/13 | Advertised and held community workshop attended by over 50 persons; Summarized findings in report and table format | Community Open House Summary |
| 10 | Vision Statement | 50\% | T | 2/14 | Prepared Draft Vision Statement; to be reviewed at $2 / 25 / 14$ Advisory Committee meeting | Draft Vision Statement Document |
| 11 | Proposed Land \& Water Uses \& Projects | 10\% | T | 4/14 | Prepared preliminary land and water use objectives based on existing plans and community input; prepared preliminary project list for review at $2 / 25 / 14$ Advisory Committee meeting | Preliminary Land \& Water Use Outline and Preliminary Project List |
| 12 | Schematic Designs | 0\% | T | 4/14 | N/A | N/A |
| 13 | Action Plan | 0\% | T | 4/14 | N/A | N/A |
| 14 | Draft Waterfront Revitalization Strategy |  | T | 5/14 | N/A | N/A |
| 15 | Community Meeting | 0\% | T | 5/14 | N/A | N/A |
| 16 | Final Waterfront Revitalization Strategy | 0\% | T | 6/14 | N/A | N/A |
| 17 | MWBE Reports | 0\% | T | 7/14 | N/A | N/A |
| 18 | Project Status <br> Reports | 20\% | T | 7/14 | N/A | N/A |
| 19 | Final Project Summary | 0\% | T | 7/14 | N/A | N/A |

jobs and improving the tax base.
The waterfront revitalization area encompasses land adjacent to Keuka Lake and Sugar Creek. It includes the hamlets of Branchport and Kinney's Corners/Keuka Park, areas adjacent the Village of Penn Yan and the Keuka Lake State Park. The New York State Route 54A right-of-way, which links these areas, is also included within the boundary.

The waterfront revitalization area includes land with a relationship to the water most susceptible to change. Areas that are overwhelmingly single-family residential in use, such as East and West Bluff Drive, are not included in the revitalization area.

Figure 1: Transportation and Revitalization Area Map

Vision \& Discovery Session
After the IPS, attendees separated into three small groups for the Vision \& Discovery Session. In this phase, teams identified issues, unities, and assets. Words and/or short phrases deschbing the long-term vision were also identified. To help identify specific geographic related information, residents recorded place-specific comments on printed maps of the village. Although residents offered diverse input, common themes emerged from the Vision \& Discovery Session.


|  | Issues <br> What are the two most significant issues you feel must be addressed for the Keuka Lake area to move forward? | Opportunities <br> What are one or two opportunities you think that Keuka Lake must capitalize on to make it a unique place to live, shop and/or visit? | Assets <br> What are the specific assets that should be preserved and strengthened to help achieve your vision for the Keuka Lake commercial area? | Vision <br> If you had to pick one thing you would like Keuka Lake to become well known for what would it be? | Other questions, comments, concerns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | lack of trees in branchport | Improve public access to Keuka Lake. | vineyards | restful | Enforce "covenants" that encourage pride of ownership. |
| 15 | water quality | walkability | Keuka Lake | birding | Do we want change? Some do not. |
| 16 | Sugar Creek navigation | Expand business district in Branchport. | people | recreation |  |
| 17 | Branchport water access | niche business | centrally isolated | kayaking |  |
| 18 | cooperation | Attract business to Jerusalem (e.g. Inn, Hotel). | natural beauty | beautiful |  |
| 19 | lack of tourism education programs | night water sports with lights on Keuka Lake | seasonal weather changes | friendly |  |
| 20 | technology | transient docking, perhaps at restaurants | History (e.g. Iroquois) | scenic |  |
| 21 | keep some village look \& feel (e.g. <br> Tupper Lake) | allow all income levels | rural | family |  |
|  | line of sight | more waterfront restaurants | tributaries | not crowded |  |
| 23 | Branchport does not support out of town visitors. | Finger Lakes Trails | Keuka Lake State Park | undisturbed |  |
|  | public restroom | water sports (e.g. canoe, kayak rental) | Zoning | boating |  |
|  | lack of public water access | Keuka Wine Trail along 54A | lack of heavy manufacturing | natural |  |
|  | lack of urgent care | agriculture | agriculture | cycling |  |


|  | Issues <br> What are the two most significant issues you feel must be addressed for the Keuka Lake area to move forward? | Opportunities <br> What are one or two opportunities you think that Keuka Lake must capitalize on to make it a unique place to live, shop and/or visit? | Assets <br> What are the specific assets that should be preserved and strengthened to help achieve your vision for the Keuka Lake commercial area? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | growth management, definition | potable water | fish |
| 28 | unemployment | rural nature of community | Land Trust |
| 29 | parking | tourist income | Keuka Lake Association |
| 30 | seasonal roads | income from boat docking fees | BPA |
| 31 | building codes | Mennonites | air |
| 32 | zoning regulations | Keuka College | local food |
| 33 | ratio of land \& buildings | Keuka Lake State Park | local businesses |
| 34 | sewage | boat launch | proximity to airport |
| 35 | Motor boat sound pollution | Mill Street public access | Mennonites |
| 36 | Light Pollution | Indian Pines Park | wineries |
| 37 | Improve Branchport. | bridge to Penn Yan Trail | breweries |
| 38 | architecture | tour boat | tourism |
| 39 | protect nature | information center | small town feel |
| 40 | building appearance | design guidelines | Keuka Lake Wine Trail |
| 41 | Economic Development | Finger Lakes Museum | community spirit |
| 42 |  |  | education |

> Planning and Design Session Part of the planning and design workshop was spent working in small groups with markers and an area-wide base map. With the help of a facilitator, participants were asked to identify issues and opportunities on the map and sketch or draw their ideas for future land use, development and redevelopment. They were asked to reflect on the two previous exercises as inspiration for their ideas and to focus more on what should be there in the future rather than what is there today.
Participants used the Planning and
Design session to delineate potential and Sugar Creek. Other ideas added
on the maps included areas for public
water access, an amphitheater, overlook
pavilion, and parks.

